Condensed Interim Financial Statements

Three Months Ended March 31, 2013

(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

Notice to Reader of the Unaudited Interim Financial Statements for the three months ended March 31, 2013

In accordance with National Instrument 51-102, of the Canadian Securities Administrators, the Company discloses that its auditors have not reviewed the unaudited interim financial statements.

The unaudited interim financial statements of North American Nickel Inc. (the "Company") for the three month period ended March 31, 2013 ("Financial Statements') have been prepared by management. The Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012, which are available at the SEDAR website at www.sedar.com. The Financial Statements are stated in Canadian dollars, unless otherwise indicated, and are prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS").

Condensed Interim Statement of Financial Position (Expressed in Canadian Dollars - unaudited))

	Notes		March 31, 2013	D	ecember 31, 2012
ASSETS					
Current assets Cash Short-term investments Receivables Prepaid expenses and deposits Total current assets	4 5 6	\$	359,586 705,218 20,933 10,572 1,096,309	\$	661,245 705,218 12,033 18,770 1,397,266
Non-current assets Equipment Exploration and evaluation assets Total non-current assets	7 8		2,571 7,863,543 7,866,114	_	5,957 7,606,479 7,612,436
Total assets		\$	8,962,423	\$	9,009,702
LIABILITIES					
Current liabilities Trade payables and accrued liabilities	9, 11	\$	143,744	\$	63,154
Total liabilities			143,744		63,154
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY					
Share capital - preferred Share capital - common Share-based payments reserve Deficit Total shareholders' equity	10 10 10		604,724 22,191,970 2,924,049 (16,902,064) 8,818,679	_	604,724 22,181,970 2,873,676 (16,713,822) 8,946,548
Total equity			8,818,679		8,946,548
Total liabilities and equity		\$	8,962,423	\$	9,009,702
APPROVED BY THE DIRECTORS:					
, Director	_ E	dward	d D. Ford		, Director

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Condensed Interim Statement of Comprehensive Loss (Expressed in Canadian Dollars - unaudited) For the three months ended March 31, 2013

	Notes	March 31, 2013	 March 31, 2012
Expenses			
Amortization		\$ 3,386	\$ 992
Consulting	11	22,238	9,067
Filing fees		4,453	2,849
Investor relations		24,664	67,288
General and administrative	11	8,470	4,971
Management fees	11	27,000	27,128
Marketing and corporate communications		-	500
Part X11.6 tax		-	100
Professional fees		7,238	12,880
Property investigation		5,989	-
Salaries		18,684	18,080
Share-based payments	10	50,372	18,984
Travel and accommodation		 8,874	 14,418
Loss before other items		(181,367)	(177,257)
Other items:			
Impairment of exploration and evaluation assets	8	-	(10,802)
Foreign exchange loss		 (6,875)	 (860)
Loss before income taxes Future income tax recovery (expense)		(188,242)	(188,918) 3,327
Net loss and comprehensive loss for the period		\$ (188,242)	\$ (185,591)
Loss per common share - basic and diluted		\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.00)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding - basic and diluted		 80,636,860	56,426,001

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Condensed Interim Statement of Changes In Equity (Expressed in Canadian Dollars - unaudited)
For the three months ended March 31, 2013

	Ni. walan a f	-			Share-based		
Notes	Number of shares		Sharo canital	Preferred Stock	payments reserve	Deficit	Total
Notes	Silares		Share capital	Preferred Stock	reserve	Deficit	Total
Balance at December 31, 2011	55,058,193	\$	18,177,920	\$ 604,724	\$ 2,503,605	\$ (15,342,641)	5,943,608
Loss for the period	-		-	-	-	(185,591)	(185,591)
Shares issued to acquire exploration and evaluation assets	500,000		90,000	-	-	-	90,000
Stock options issued	-		-	-	18,984	-	18,984
Stock options exercised	132,000		20,300		(7,100)		13,200
Warrants exercised	1,057,500		105,750	-	-	-	105,750
Balance at March 31, 2012	56,747,693		18,393,970	604,724	2,515,489	(15,528,232)	5,985,951
Loss for the period	-		-	-	-	(1,267,971)	(1,267,971)
Share capital issued private placement	20,000,000		3,400,000	-	-	-	3,400,000
Shares issued to acquire exploration and evaluation assets	75,000		14,250	-	-	-	14,250
Stock options issued	-		-	-	440,568	-	440,568
Forfeited/expired stock options					(45,789)	45,789	-
Stock options exercised	-		_		_	·	-
Warrants exercised	3,737,500		373,750	-	-	-	373,750
Cancelled/expired warrants			,		(36,592)	36,592	
Balance at December 31, 2012	80,560,193		22,181,970	604,724	2,873,676	(16,713,822)	8,946,548
Loss for the year	-		-	-	-	(188,242)	(188,242)
Stock options issued	-		-	-	50,372	-	50,372
Warrants exercised	100,000		10,000	-	-	-	10,000
Balance at March 31, 2013	80,660,193	\$	22,191,970	\$ 604,724	\$ 2,924,048	\$ (16,902,064)	8,818,677

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed financial statements.

Condensed Interim Statement of Cash Flows (Expressed in Canadian Dollars - unaudited) For the three months ended March 31, 2013

	March 31,	March 31,
	2013	2012
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Loss for the year	\$ (188,242)	\$ (185,591)
Items not affecting cash		
Amortization	3,386	992
Share-based payments	50,373	18,984
Reversal of flow-through share liability		(3,327)
	(134,483)	(168,942)
Changes in non-cash working capital items:		
Receivables	(8,900)	78,006
Prepaid expenses	8,198	(8,835)
Trade payables and accrued liabilities	79,676	(88,726)
Taxes payable	-	100
Due to related parties	915	(27,281)
Cash used in operating activities	(54,595)	(215,678)
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Expenditures on exploration and evaluation assets	(257,064)	(179,153)
Short-term investments	-	(2,089)
Cash used in investing activities	(257,064)	(181,242)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Proceeds on issuance of common shares	10,000	118,950
Cash provided by financing activities	10,000	118,950
	_	 _
Change in cash during the period	(301,659)	(277,970)
Cash at beginning of period	 661,245	421,046
Cash at end of year	\$ 359,586	\$ 143,076

Supplemental cash flow information - (Note 14)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed financial statements.

1. NATURE AND CONTINUANCE OF OPERATIONS

North American Nickel Inc. (the "Company") was incorporated on September 23, 1983, under the laws of the Province of British Columbia, Canada. The head office, principal address and records office of the Company are located at Suite 301 – 260 West Esplanade, North Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, V7M 3G7.

The Company's principal business activity is the exploration and development of mineral properties in Canada and Greenland. The Company has not yet determined whether any of these properties contain ore reserves that are economically recoverable. The recoverability of carrying amounts shown for exploration and evaluation assets is dependent upon a number of factors including environmental risk, legal and political risk, the existence of economically recoverable mineral reserves, confirmation of the Company's interests in the underlying mineral claims, the ability of the Company to obtain necessary financing to complete exploration and development, and to attain sufficient net cash flow from future profitable production or disposition proceeds.

These condensed financial statements have been prepared on the assumption that the Company will continue as a going concern, meaning it will continue in operation for the foreseeable future and will be able to realize assets and discharge liabilities in the ordinary course of operations. The ability of the Company to continue operations as a going concern is ultimately dependent upon achieving profitable operations. To date, the Company has not generated profitable operations from its resource activities and will need to invest additional funds in carrying out its planned exploration, development and operational activities. These uncertainties may cast significant doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. These financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

The exploration and evaluation properties in which the Company currently has an interest are in the exploration stage. As such, the Company is dependent on external financing to fund its activities. In order to carry out the planned exploration and cover administrative costs, the Company will use its existing working capital and raise additional amounts as needed. The Company will continue to assess new properties and seek to acquire interests in additional properties if there is sufficient geologic or economic potential and if adequate financial resources are available to do so.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND BASIS OF PREPARATION

The condensed financial statements were authorized for issue on May 29, 2013 by the Board of Directors of the Company.

Statement of compliance with International Financial Reporting Standards

The condensed financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB") and interpretations of the International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee ("IFRIC").

Basis of preparation

These condensed financial statements have been prepared on an accrual basis and are based on historical costs, modified where applicable. The financial statements are presented in Canadian dollars, unless otherwise noted, which is the Company's functional currency.

Significant estimates and assumptions

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with IFRS requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions concerning the future. The Company's management reviews these estimates and underlying assumptions on an ongoing basis, based on experience and other factors, including expectations of future events that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Revisions to estimates are adjusted for prospectively in the period in which the estimates are revised.

Estimates and assumptions where there is significant risk of material adjustments to assets and liabilities in future accounting periods include the useful life of equipment, stock-based awards and payments, the recoverability of the carrying value of exploration and evaluation assets, fair value measurements for financial instruments, the recoverability and measurement of deferred tax assets and provisions for restoration and environmental obligations.

Significant judgments

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with IFRS requires the Company to make judgments, apart from those involving estimates, in applying accounting policies. The most significant judgments in applying the Company's financial statements include:

- the assessment of the Company's ability to continue as a going concern and whether there are events or conditions that may give rise to significant uncertainty;
- the classification / allocation of expenditures as exploration and evaluation expenditures or operating expenses;
- the classification of financial instruments; and
- the determination of the functional currency of the Company.

Foreign currency translation

Foreign currency transactions are translated into functional currency using the exchange rates prevailing at the date of the transaction. Foreign currency monetary items are translated at the period-end exchange rate. Non-monetary items measured at historical cost continue to be carried at the exchange rate at the date of the transaction. Non-monetary items measured at fair value are reported at the exchange rate at the date when fair values were determined.

Exchange differences arising on the translation of monetary items or on settlement of monetary items are recognized in profit or loss in the statement of comprehensive income in the period in which they arise, except where deferred in equity as a qualifying cash flow or net investment hedge.

Exchange differences arising on the translation of non-monetary items are recognized in other comprehensive income in the statement of comprehensive income to the extent that gains and losses arising on those non-monetary items are also recognized in other comprehensive income. Where the non-monetary gain or loss is recognized in profit or loss, the exchange component is also recognized in profit or loss.

Exploration and evaluation assets

Exploration and evaluation assets include the costs of acquiring licenses, costs associated with exploration and evaluation activity, and the fair value (at acquisition date) of exploration and evaluation assets acquired in a business combination. Exploration and evaluation expenditures are initially capitalized. Costs incurred before the Company has obtained the legal rights to explore an area are recognized in profit or loss.

Exploration and evaluation assets (cont'd)

Government tax credits received are generally recorded as a reduction to the cumulative costs incurred and capitalized on the related property.

Exploration and evaluation assets are assessed for impairment if (i) sufficient data exists to determine technical feasibility and commercial viability, and (ii) facts, events and circumstances suggest that the carrying amount exceeds the recoverable amount.

Once the technical feasibility and commercial viability of the extraction of mineral resources in an area of interest are demonstrable, exploration and evaluation assets attributable to that area of interest are first tested for impairment and then reclassified to mining property and development assets within equipment.

Recoverability of the carrying amount of any exploration and evaluation assets is dependent on successful development and commercial exploitation, or alternatively, sale of the respective areas of interest.

The Company may occasionally enter into farm-out arrangements, whereby it will transfer part of the interest, as consideration, for an agreement by the farmee to meet certain exploration and evaluation expenditures which would have otherwise been undertaken by the Company. The Company does not record any expenditures made by the farmee on its behalf. Any cash consideration received from the agreement is credited against the costs previously capitalized to the mineral interest given up by the Company, with any excess consideration accounted for in profit.

When a project is deemed to no longer have commercially viable prospects to the Company, exploration and evaluation expenditures in respect of that project are deemed to be impaired. As a result, those exploration and evaluation expenditure costs, in excess of estimated recoveries, are written off to the statement of comprehensive loss/income.

Restoration and environmental obligations

The Company recognizes liabilities for statutory, contractual, constructive or legal obligations associated with the retirement of long-term assets, when those obligations result from the acquisition, construction, development or normal operation of the assets. The net present value of future restoration cost estimates is capitalized to exploration and evaluation assets along with a corresponding increase in the restoration provision in the period incurred. Discount rates using a pre-tax rate that reflect the time value of money are used to calculate the net present value. The restoration asset will be depreciated on the same basis as other mining assets.

Changes are recorded directly to mining assets with a corresponding entry to the restoration provision. The Company's estimates are reviewed annually for changes in regulatory requirements, discount rates, effects of inflation and changes in estimates.

Changes in the net present value, excluding changes in the Company's estimates of reclamation costs, are charged to profit and loss for the period.

The net present value of restoration costs arising from subsequent site damage that is incurred on an ongoing basis during production are charged to profit or loss in the period incurred.

Restoration and environmental obligations (cont'd)

The costs of restoration projects included in the provision are recorded against the provision as incurred. The costs to prevent and control environmental impacts at specific properties are capitalized in accordance with the Company's accounting policy for exploration and evaluation assets.

Impairment of assets

Impairment tests on intangible assets with indefinite useful economic lives are undertaken annually at the financial year-end. Other non-financial assets, including exploration and evaluation assets are subject to impairment tests whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amount may not be recoverable. Where the carrying value of an asset exceeds its recoverable amount, which is the higher of value in use and fair value less costs to sell, the asset is written down accordingly.

Where it is not possible to estimate the recoverable amount of an individual asset, the impairment test is carried out on the asset's cash-generating unit, which is the lowest group of assets in which the asset belongs and for which there are separately identifiable cash inflows that are largely independent of the cash inflows from other assets.

An impairment loss is charged to the profit or loss, except to the extent they reverse gains previously recognized in other comprehensive loss/income.

Financial instruments

The Company classifies its financial instruments in the following categories: at fair value through profit or loss, loans and receivables, held-to-maturity investments, available-for-sale and financial liabilities. The classification depends on the purpose for which the financial instruments were acquired. Management determines the classification of its financial instruments at initial recognition.

Financial assets are classified at fair value through profit or loss when they are either held for trading for the purpose of short-term profit taking, derivatives not held for hedging purposes, or when they are designated as such to avoid an accounting mismatch or to enable performance evaluation where a group of financial assets is managed by key management personnel on a fair value basis in accordance with a documented risk management or investment strategy. Such assets are subsequently measured at fair value with changes in carrying value being included in profit or loss.

Loans and receivables are non-derivative financial assets with fixed or determinable payments that are not quoted in an active market and are subsequently measured at amortized cost. They are included in current assets, except for maturities greater than 12 months after the end of the reporting period. These are classified as non-current assets.

Held-to-maturity investments are non-derivative financial assets that have fixed maturities and fixed or determinable payments, and it is the Company's intention to hold these investments to maturity. They are subsequently measured at amortized cost. Held-to-maturity investments are included in non-current assets, except for those which are expected to mature within 12 months after the end of the reporting period.

Available-for-sale financial assets are non-derivative financial assets that are designated as available-for-sale or are not suitable to be classified as financial assets at fair value through profit or loss, loans and receivables or held-to-maturity investments and are subsequently measured at fair value. These are included in current assets. Unrealized gains and losses are recognized in other comprehensive income, except for impairment losses and foreign exchange gains and losses.

Financial instruments (cont'd)

Non-derivative financial liabilities are subsequently measured at amortized cost.

Regular purchases and sales of financial assets are recognized on the trade-date – the date on which the group commits to purchase the asset.

Financial assets are derecognized when the rights to receive cash flows from the investments have expired or have been transferred and the Company has transferred substantially all risks and rewards of ownership.

At each reporting date, the Company assesses whether there is objective evidence that a financial asset has been impaired. In the case of available-for-sale financial instruments, a significant and prolonged decline in the value of the instrument is considered to determine whether impairment has arisen.

Loss per share

Basic loss per share is computed by dividing the net income or loss applicable to common shares of the Company by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the relevant period.

Diluted earnings / loss per common share is computed by dividing the net income or loss applicable to common shares by the sum of the weighted average number of common shares issued and outstanding and all additional common shares that would have been outstanding if potentially dilutive instruments were converted. If the calculation results in an anti-dilutive effect then only basic income or loss per share is presented.

Income taxes

Income tax expense comprises current and deferred tax. Current tax and deferred tax are recognized in net income except to the extent that it arises in a business combination, or from items recognized directly in equity or other comprehensive loss/income.

Current income tax assets and liabilities for the current period are measured at the amount expected to be recovered from or paid to the taxation authorities. The tax rates and tax laws used to compute the amount are those that are enacted or substantively enacted, at the reporting date, in the countries where the Company operates and generates taxable income.

Current income tax relating to items recognized directly in other comprehensive income or equity is recognized in other comprehensive income or equity and not in profit or loss. Management periodically evaluates positions taken in the tax returns with respect to situations in which applicable tax regulations are subject to interpretation and establishes provisions where appropriate.

Deferred income tax is provided using the balance sheet method of temporary differences at the reporting date between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their carrying amounts for financial reporting purposes.

The carrying amount of deferred income tax assets is reviewed at the end of each reporting period and recognized only to the extent that it is probable that sufficient taxable profit will be available to allow all or part of the deferred income tax asset to be utilized.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND BASIS OF PREPARATION (cont'd)

Income taxes (cont'd)

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are measured at the tax rates that are expected to apply to the year when the asset is realized or the liability is settled, based on tax rates and tax laws that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the end of the reporting period.

Deferred income tax assets and deferred income tax liabilities are offset, only if a legally enforceable right exists to set off current tax assets against current income tax liabilities and the deferred income taxes relate to the same taxable entity and the same taxation authority.

Share-based payments

Where equity-settled share options are awarded to employees, the fair value of the options at the date of grant is charged to the statement of comprehensive loss/income over the vesting period. Performance vesting conditions are taken into account by adjusting the number of equity instruments expected to vest at each reporting date so that, ultimately, the cumulative amount recognized over the vesting period is based on the number of options that eventually vest. Non-vesting conditions and market vesting conditions are factored into the fair value of the options granted. As long as all other vesting conditions are satisfied, a charge is made irrespective of whether these vesting conditions are satisfied. The cumulative expense is not adjusted for failure to achieve a market vesting condition or where a non-vesting condition is not satisfied.

Where the terms and conditions of options are modified before they vest, the increase in the fair value of the options, measured immediately before and after the modification, is also charged to the statement of comprehensive loss/income over the remaining vesting period.

Where equity instruments are granted to employees, they are recorded at the fair value of the equity instrument granted at the grant date. The grant date fair value is recognized in comprehensive loss/income over the vesting period, described as the period during which all the vesting conditions are to be satisfied.

Where equity instruments are granted to non-employees, they are recorded at the fair value of the goods or services received in the statement of comprehensive loss/income, unless they are related to the issuance of shares. Amounts related to the issuance of shares are recorded as a reduction of share capital.

When the value of goods and services received in exchange for the share-based payment cannot be reliably estimated, the fair value is measured by use of a valuation model. The expected life used in the model is adjusted, based on management's best estimate, for the effects of non-transferability, exercise restrictions, and behavioural considerations.

All equity-settled share based payments are reflected in share-based payments reserve, until exercised. Upon exercise shares are issued from treasury and the amount reflected in share-based payments reserve is credited to share capital along with any consideration paid.

Where a grant of options is cancelled or settled during the vesting period, excluding forfeitures when vesting conditions are not satisfied, the Company immediately accounts for the cancellation as an acceleration of vesting and recognizes the amount that otherwise would have been recognized for services received over the remainder of the vesting period. Any payment made to an employee on the cancellation is accounted for as the repurchase of an equity interest except to the extent the payment exceeds the fair value of the equity instrument granted, measured at the repurchase date. Any such excess is recognized as an expense.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND BASIS OF PREPARATION (cont'd)

Share capital

Financial instruments issued by the Company are classified as equity only to the extent that they do not meet the definition of a financial liability or financial asset. The Company's common shares, preferred shares, share warrants and flow-through shares are classified as equity instruments.

Incremental costs directly attributable to the issue of new shares or options are shown in equity as a deduction from the proceeds.

Proceeds received on the issuance of units, consisting of common shares and warrants are allocated to share capital.

Flow-through shares

The Company will from time to time, issue flow-through common shares to finance a portion of its Canadian exploration program. Pursuant to the terms of the flow-through share agreements, these shares transfer the tax deductibility of qualifying resource expenditures to investors. On issuance, the Company bifurcates the flow-through share into i) a flow-through share premium, equal to the estimated premium, if any, investors pay for the flow-through feature, which is recognized as a liability and; ii) share capital. Upon expenses being incurred, the Company derecognizes the liability and recognizes a deferred tax liability for the amount of tax reduction renounced to the shareholders. The premium is offset from the flow-through proceeds and the related deferred tax is recognized as a tax provision.

Proceeds received from the issuance of flow-through shares are restricted to be used only for Canadian resource property exploration expenditures within a two-year period.

The Company may also be subject to a Part XII.6 tax on flow-through proceeds renounced under the Look-back Rule, in accordance with Government of Canada flow-through regulations. When applicable, this tax is accrued as a financial expense until paid.

Equipment

Equipment is stated at historical cost less accumulated depreciation and accumulated impairment losses.

Subsequent costs are included in the asset's carrying amount or recognized as a separate asset, as appropriate, only when it is probable that future economic benefits associated with the item will flow to the Company and the cost of the item can be measured reliably. The carrying amount of a significant replaced part is derecognized. All other repairs and maintenance are charged to the statement of income and comprehensive income during the financial period in which they are incurred. Gains and losses on disposals are determined by comparing the proceeds with the carrying amount and are recognized in profit or loss.

Depreciation and amortization are calculated on a straight-line method to charge the cost, less residual value, of the assets to their residual values over their estimated useful lives. The depreciation and amortization rate applicable to each category of equipment is as follows:

Equipment	Depreciation rate
Exploration equipment	20%
Computer software	50%

3. ACCOUNTING STANDARDS, AMENDMENTS AND INTERPRETATIONS NOT YET EFFECTIVE

The Company has not early adopted the following revised standards and is currently assessing the impact that these standards will have on its future financial statements.

Other accounting standards or amendments to existing accounting standards that have been issued but have future effective dates are either not applicable or are not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

IFRS 9, Financial instruments

This new standard is a partial replacement of IAS 39 "Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement". IFRS 9 uses a single approach to determine whether a financial asset is measured at amortized cost or fair value, replacing the multiple rules in IAS 39. The approach in IFRS 9 is based on how an entity manages its financial instruments in the context of its business model and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the financial assets. The new standard also requires a single impairment method to be used, replacing the multiple impairment methods in IAS 39. IFRS 9 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2015.

IFRS 13, Fair value measurement

This new standard replaces the fair value measurement guidance currently included in various other IFRS standards with a single definition of fair value and extensive application guidance. IFRS 13 provides guidance on how to measure fair value and does not introduce new requirements for when fair value is required or permitted. It also establishes disclosure requirements to provide users of the financial statements with more information about fair value measurements. IFRS 13 is effect for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013.

Amendments to IAS 32, Financial instruments: presentation

These amendments address inconsistencies when applying the offsetting requirements, and is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2014.

Financial statement presentation

In June 2011, the IASB and the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued amendments to standards to align the presentation requirements for other comprehensive income ("OCI"). The IASB issued amendments to IAS 1 "Presentation of Financial Statements" to require companies preparing financial statements under IFRS to group items within OCI that may be reclassified to the profit or loss. The amendments also reaffirm existing requirements that items in OCI and profit or loss should be presented as either a single statement or two consecutive statements. The amendments are effective for fiscal years beginning on or after July 1, 2012.

4. CASH

Cash at banks and on hand earns interest at floating rates based on daily bank deposit rate.

5. **SHORT-TERM INVESTMENT**

Short-term investment is comprised of a highly liquid Canadian dollar denominated guaranteed investment certificate with an initial term to maturity greater than ninety days, but not more than one year, that is readily convertible to a contracted amount of cash. The investment is carried at the lower of cost or market value. The counter-party is a financial institution. At March 31, 2013, the instrument was yielding an annual interest rate of 1.25% (2011 - 1.05%). The fair market value of the Company's short-term investment approximates its carrying value at the balance sheet dates.

6. <u>RECEIVABLES</u>

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} March 31, & December 31, \\ & 2013 & 2012 \\ \hline Harmonized and government taxes receivable & $20,933$ $$ 12,033 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$

7. **EQUIPMENT**

•			•		Total					•		Total
\$	6,500 -	\$	5,360 -	\$	-	• •	\$	6,500 -	\$	5,360 -	\$	11,860
\$	6,500	\$	5,360	\$	11,860	At December 31, 2011	\$	6,500	\$	5,360	\$	11,860
\$	2,305 1,624	\$	3,597 1,763	\$		• •	\$	1,001 1,304	\$	910 2,688	\$	1,911 3,992
\$	3,929	\$	5,360	\$	9,289	At December 31, 2012		2,305		3,598		5,903
<u> </u>	4,195 2 571	\$				- ' '	\$	5,499 4.195	Ś	4,450 1,762	\$	9,949 5,957
	\$ \$	\$ 6,500 \$ 2,305 1,624 \$ 3,929	\$ 6,500 \$ \$ 6,500 \$ \$ 2,305 \$ 1,624 \$ 3,929 \$	\$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 2,305 \$ 3,597 1,624 1,763 \$ 3,929 \$ 5,360	\$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ \$ 2,305 \$ 3,597 \$ 1,624 1,763 \$ 3,929 \$ 5,360 \$	Equipment Software Total \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 11,860 \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 11,860 \$ 2,305 \$ 3,597 \$ 5,902 1,624 1,763 3,387 \$ 3,929 \$ 5,360 \$ 9,289 4,195 1,762 5,957	Equipment Software Total Cost: \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 11,860 At January 1, 2012 - - - Additions \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 11,860 At December 31, 2011 \$ 2,305 \$ 3,597 \$ 5,902 At January 1, 2012 1,624 1,763 3,387 Charge for the period \$ 3,929 \$ 5,360 \$ 9,289 At December 31, 2012 Net book value: 4,195 1,762 5,957 At January 1, 2012	Equipment Software Total Cost: Equipment Cost: \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 11,860 At January 1, 2012 \$ Additions \$ Additions \$ Additions \$ \$ Amortization: \$ \$ 2,305 \$ 3,597 \$ 5,902 At January 1, 2012 \$ Charge for the period \$ \$ 1,624 1,763 3,387 Charge for the period \$ \$ 9,289 At December 31, 2012 \$ Net book value: At January 1, 2012 \$ 4,195 1,762 5,957 At January 1, 2012 5,957 At January 1, 2012 \$ \$	Equipment Software Total Cost: Equipment \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 11,860 At January 1, 2012 \$ 6,500 Additions \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 11,860 At December 31, 2011 \$ 6,500 Amortization: \$ 2,305 \$ 3,597 \$ 5,902 At January 1, 2012 \$ 1,001 1,624 1,763 3,387 Charge for the period 1,304 \$ 3,929 \$ 5,360 \$ 9,289 At December 31, 2012 2,305 Net book value: 4,195 1,762 5,957 At January 1, 2012 5,499	Equipment Software Total Equipment Software \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 11,860 At January 1, 2012 \$ 6,500 \$ \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 11,860 At December 31, 2011 \$ 6,500 \$ \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 11,860 At December 31, 2011 \$ 6,500 \$ \$ 2,305 \$ 3,597 \$ 5,902 At January 1, 2012 \$ 1,001 \$ 1,624 1,763 3,387 Charge for the period 1,304 \$ 3,929 \$ 5,360 \$ 9,289 At December 31, 2012 2,305 Net book value: 4,195 1,762 5,957 At January 1, 2012 5,499	Equipment Software Total Equipment Software \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 11,860 At January 1, 2012 \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 11,860 At December 31, 2011 \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 11,860 At December 31, 2011 \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 2,305 \$ 3,597 \$ 5,902 At January 1, 2012 \$ 1,001 \$ 910 1,624 1,763 3,387 Charge for the period 1,304 2,688 \$ 3,929 \$ 5,360 \$ 9,289 At December 31, 2012 2,305 3,598 Net book value: 4,195 1,762 5,957 At January 1, 2012 5,499 4,450	Equipment Software Total Cost: Equipment Software \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 11,860 At January 1, 2012 \$ 6,500 \$ 5,360 \$ 1,001 \$ 910 \$ 1,624 \$ 1,763 \$ 3,387 Charge for the period \$ 1,304 \$ 2,688 \$ 3,929 \$ 5,360 \$ 9,289 At December 31, 2012 \$ 2,305 \$ 3,598 \$ 3,598 \$ Net book value: \$ 3,499 \$ 4,450 <

8. EXPLORATION AND EVALUATION ASSETS

				Canada			Greenland	•		
Mineral Properties Acquisition		Post Creek Property		Halcyon Property		Thompson North		Maniitsoq Property		Total
Balance, December 31, 2012	\$	208,000	\$	149,000	\$	120,333	\$	11,497	\$	488,830
Acquisition costs - cash Acquisition costs - Shares Impairment		- - -		- - -		- - -		- - -		- - -
Balance, March 31, 2013	\$	208,000	\$	149,000	\$	120,333	\$	11,497	\$	488,830
Expenditures (recoveries) Balance, December 31, 2012	\$	967,089	\$	73,504	\$	149,140		5,927,916	\$	7,117,649
Administration		-		-		-		12,695		12,695
Assay and sampling (recovery)		-		-		-		21,236		21,236
Consulting services		4,760		4,609		-		41,284		50,653
Equipment and supplies		3,132		2,494		-		110		5,736
Licenses and fees		-		-		-		905		905
Camp costs		-		-		-		85,745		85,745
Shipping and printing costs		68		68		84		544		765
Survey costs		-		-		-		95,732		95,732
Recoveries		-				(16,403)		-		(16,403)
		7,960		7,171		(16,319)		258,251		257,064
Impairments		-		-		-		-		-
		7,960		7,171		(16,319)		258,251		257,064
Balance, March 31, 2013		975,049		80,675		132,822		6,186,167		7,374,713
Total, Balance March 31, 2013	\$	1,183,049	\$	229,675	\$	253,155	\$	6,197,664	\$	7,863,543

The following is a description of the Company's exploration and evaluation assets and the related spending commitments:

Post Creek

On December 23, 2009 the Company executed a letter of intent whereby the Company has an option to acquire a mineral claim known as the Post Creek Property located within the Sudbury Mining District of Ontario, and paid a non-refundable deposit of \$7,500.

On April 5, 2010 the Company entered into an option agreement to acquire a 100% interest in the Post Creek Property. On March 12, 2013 the Post Creek Property Agreement was amended as indicated in the schedule below. In order to acquire 100% working interests in the property, subject to certain net smelter return royalties ("NSR") and advance royalty payments the Company agreed to the following amended consideration. Further, commencing the amended date of August 1, 2015, if the Company exercises its option, the Company will be obligated to pay advances on the NSR of \$10,000 per annum, which will be deducted from any payments to be made under the NSR:

8. EXPLORATION AND EVALUATION ASSETS (cont'd)

Post Creek (cont'd)			Exp	oloration
Date	Cash	Shares	requi	rements
On or before April 5, 2010 (paid and issued)	\$ 12,500	400,000		
On or before April 5, 2011 (paid and issued)	\$ 30,000	300,000	\$	15,000
On or before April 5, 2012 (paid and issued)	\$ 50,000	300,000	\$	15,000
On or before April 5, 2013 (subsequently paid)	\$ 15,000	-	\$	15,000
On or before April 5, 2014	\$ 15,000		\$	15,000
On or before April 5, 2015	\$ 15,000		\$	15,000

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company incurred exploration costs totalling \$7,960 (March 31, 2012 - \$20,775) in deferred exploration costs on the Post Creek Property.

Halcyon

On April 5, 2010 the Company entered into an option agreement to acquire a rights to Halcyon Property. On March 12, 2013 the Halcyon Property Agreement was amended as indicated in the schedule below. In order to acquire 100% working interests in the property, subject to certain net smelter return royalties ("NSR") and advance royalty payments the Company agreed to the following amended consideration. Further, commencing the amended date of August 1, 2015, if the Company exercises its option, the Company will be obligated to pay advances on the NSR of \$8,000 per annum, which will be deducted from any payments to be made under the NSR:

			Exp	oloration
Date	Cash	Shares	requi	rements
On or before April 5, 2010 (paid and issued)	\$ 15,000	300,000		
On or before April 5, 2011 (paid and issued)	\$ 25,000	200,000	\$	22,000
On or before April 5, 2012 (paid and issued)	\$ 35,000	200,000	\$	22,000
On or before April 5, 2013 (subsequently amended)	\$ 15,000	-	\$	22,000
On or before April 5, 2014	\$ 15,000	-	\$	22,000
On or before April 5, 2015	\$ 15,000	-	\$	22,000

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company incurred \$7,171 (March 31, 2012 - \$1,521) in exploration costs on the Halcyon Property.

Manitoba Nickel Properties

On April 5, 2010, the Company entered into a purchase and sale agreement, with a company with directors in common, to acquire a 100% interest in the Thompson North, South Bay and Cedar Lake properties located in Manitoba, and agreed to consideration of \$1,000 cash (paid) and 6,000,000 common shares (issued). The Company's interest is subject to a 2% NSR, of which 1% can be repurchased by the Company for \$1,000,000.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company incurred a recovery amount of \$(16,403) (March 31, 2012 - \$33,296) in exploration costs on the Thompson North Property. At December 31, 2012, the Company decided not to further pursue the South Bay and Cedar Lake properties and, accordingly, these properties were written-off.

8. EXPLORATION AND EVALUATION ASSETS (cont'd)

Maniitsog

Effective August 15, 2011, the Company was granted an exploration license (the "Sulussugut License") by the Bureau of Minerals and Petroleum ("BMP") of Greenland for exclusive exploration rights of an area located near Sulussugut, Greenland. The Company paid a license fee of \$5,742 (Danish krones ("DKK") 31,400) upon granting of the Sulussugut License. The Sulussugut License is valid for 5 years until December 31, 2015, with December 31, 2011 being the first year.

The Company completed the first and second year exploration requirements, of a minimum of DKK 14,575,350 (approximately CDN \$2,581,910), during the year ended December 31, 2011 and the year ending December 31, 2012 by incurring \$5,394,413 on the Sulussugut License.

During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company's expenditures exceeded the minimum requirement and the Company has a surplus of DKK 17,529,718 (approximately CDN \$3,155,350), which may be carried forward up to December 31, 2014 as a reduction of future exploration expenditure requirements.

The required minimum exploration expenditures on the Sulussugut License for the third year is DKK 37,876,560 (approximately CDN \$6,817,750) but the Company is obligated to reduce the licence area by at least 30% (1,452 square kilometres by December 31, 2013 which would reduce the required minimum exploration expenditures on the Sulussugut License to approximately DKK 12,625,520 (approximately CDN \$2,272,583). The required minimum exploration expenditures on the Sulussugut License for years 4-5, ending December 31, 2015, have not yet been determined but, are based on an annual approximation of DKK 24,405,000 (approximately CDN \$4,334,000). This assumes that the Sulussugut License area remains at its current size of 4,841 square kilometres. For every square kilometre that the licence is reduced the required annual expenditure decreases by approximately DKK 5,000.

Effective March 4, 2012, the Company was granted an additional exploration license (the "Ininngui License") by the BMP of Greenland for exclusive exploration rights of an area located near Ininngui, Greenland. The Company paid a license fee of \$5,755 (DKK 32,200) upon granting of the Ininngui License. The Ininngui License is valid for 5 years until December 31, 2016, with December 31, 2012 being the first year.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company's expenditures exceeded the minimum requirement of DKK 360,380 (approximately CDN \$64,000) and the Company has a surplus of DKK 2,511,519 (approximately CDN \$452,050) on the Ininngui License. The Company has applied to expand the license by about 125.8 square kilometres and if the application is accepted the obligations will increase.

The required minimum exploration expenditures for year 2 on the Ininngui License are based an approximate DKK 242,000 (approximately CDN \$43,000). The required minimum exploration expenditures for years 3-5, ending December 31, 2016 have not yet been determined but, are based on an annual approximation of DKK 2,730,000 (approximately CDN \$480,000).

Future required minimum exploration expenditures will be adjusted each year on the basis of the change to the Danish Consumer Price Index.

Should the Company not incur the minimum exploration expenditures on either license in any one year from years 2-5, the Company may pay 50% of the difference in cash to BMP as full compensation for that year. This procedure may not be used for more than 2 consecutive calendar years and to December 31, 2012, the Company has not used the procedure for either license.

8. EXPLORATION AND EVALUATION ASSETS (cont'd)

Maniitsoq (cont'd)

After year 5, the Company may apply for an additional 5 years for either license. Thereafter, the Company may apply for a license for up to 6 additional years, in 2 year license increments. The Company will be required to pay additional license fees and will be obligated to incur minimum exploration costs for such years, which are yet to be determined.

The Company may terminate the licenses at any time; however any unfulfilled obligations according to the licenses will remain in force, regardless of the termination.

As of March 31, 2013, the Company has spent \$5,600,804 on exploration costs for the Sulussugut License (December 31, 2012 \$5,394,413) and the Company has spent \$585,363 on exploration costs for the Ininngui License (December 31, 2012 \$533,503)

9. TRADE PAYABLES AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES

	March 31, 2013	De	cember 31, 2012
Trade payables	\$ 129,815	\$	16,779
Amounts due to related parties (Note 11)	3,680		2,765
Accrued liabilities	10,249		43,610
	\$ 143,744	\$	63,154

10. SHARE CAPITAL

- a) The authorized capital of the Company comprises an unlimited number of common shares without par value and 100,000,000 Series 1 convertible preferred shares without par value.
- b) Common shares issued and outstanding

Three month period ended March 31, 2013:

The Company issued 100,000 common shares for warrant exercises at \$0.10 per share for proceeds of \$10,000.

c) Preferred shares issued and outstanding

At March 31, 2013, there are 604,724 (December 31, 2012 – 604,724) preferred shares outstanding.

The rights and restrictions of the preferred shares are as follows:

- i) dividends shall be paid at the discretion of the directors;
- ii) the holders of the preferred shares are not entitled to vote except at meetings of the holders of the preferred shares, where they are entitled to one vote for each preferred share held;
- iii) the shares are convertible at any time after 6 months from the date of issuance, upon the holder serving the Company with 10 days written notice; and
- iv) the number of the common shares to be received on conversion of the preferred shares is to be determined by dividing the conversion value of the share, \$1 per share, by \$0.90.

10. SHARE CAPITAL (cont'd)

d) Warrants

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company entered into an arm's length IP and Data Acquisition Agreement with Hunter Minerals Pty Limited ("Hunter") and Spar Resources Pty Limited ("Spar"). Pursuant to the IP Acquisition Agreement, Hunter and Spar agreed to sell certain IP and Data rights to the Company in consideration for the Company paying \$300,000 in cash (\$150,000 to each of Hunter and Spar which is paid) and the issuance of 12,960,000 share purchase warrants (issued), 6,480,000 to each of Hunter and Spar exercisable for a period of 5 years. The warrants are exercisable at the following prices:

- 4,750,000 of the warrants are at a price of \$0.50 per share;
- 4,750,000 of the warrants are at a price of \$0.70 per share; and
- 3,460,000 of the warrants are at a price of \$1.00 per share.

The warrants are subject to an accelerated exercise provision in the event the Company relinquishes its interests in the Maniitsoq Property or any other mineral titles held within a defined area of interest without receiving consideration for such relinquishment. The granted warrants were recorded at a fair value of \$1,813,263 using the Black-Scholes pricing model, with the following inputs: Expected dividend yield: 0%; expected share price volatility: 324%; risk-free interest rate: 1.43% and expected life: 5 years.

The Company also granted each of Hunter and Spar or their designates a 1.25% NSR, subject to rights of the Company to reduce both royalties to a 0.5% NSR upon payment to each of Hunter and Spar (or their designates) of \$1,000,000 on or before the 60th day following a decision to commence commercial production on the Maniitsoq Property.

A continuity schedule of outstanding common share purchase warrants at March 31, 2013 is as follows:

	March	31, 2	2013	December 31, 2012				
	Number	Ave	Weighted erage Exercise	Number	Av	Weighted erage Exercise		
	Outstanding		Price	Outstanding		Price		
Outstanding, beginning of year	23,060,000	\$	0.49	31,198,950	\$	0.44		
Granted	-		-	10,000,000		0.21		
Cancelled/ Expired	-		-	(13,343,950)		0.31		
Exercised	(100,000)		0.10	(4,795,000)		0.10		
Outstanding, end of period	22,960,000	\$	0.49	23,060,000	\$	0.49		

10. SHARE CAPITAL (cont'd)

d) Warrants (cont'd)

At March 31, 2013, the Company had outstanding common share purchase warrants exercisable to acquire common shares of the Company as follows:

				weignted Average
Warrants				remaining
Outstanding				contractual life (in
	Expiry Date	Exer	cise Price	years)
3,460,000	Aug-30-2016	\$	1.00	3.42
4,750,000	Aug-30-2016	\$	0.50	3.42
4,750,000	Aug-30-2016	\$	0.70	3.42
10,000,000	May-22-2014	\$	0.21	1.14
			_	
22,960,000			_	2.43

e) Stock options

The Company adopted a Stock Option Plan (the "Plan"), providing the authority to grant options to directors, officers, employees and consultants enabling them to acquire up to 10% of the issued and outstanding common stock of the Company. Under the Plan, the exercise price of each option equals the market price or a discounted price of the Company's stock as calculated on the date of grant. The options can be granted for a maximum term of 10 years.

The changes in stock options during the period ended March 31, 2013 are as follows:

	March 31, 2013			December	r 31,	2012
	Number Outstanding		Weighted rage Exercise Price	Number Outstanding		Weighted rage Exercise Price
Outstanding, beginning of year Granted Cancelled/ Expired Exercised	7,383,000 300,000 -	\$	0.15 0.15 - -	5,350,000 2,415,000 (250,000) (132,000)		0.15 0.23 (0.22) (0.10)
Outstanding, end of period	7,683,000	\$	0.15	7,383,000	\$	0.15

10. SHARE CAPITAL (cont'd)

e) Stock options (cont'd)

The weighted average fair value of options granted during the period ended March 31, 2013 was \$0.15 per option (December 31, 2012 - \$0.15). Details of options outstanding as at March 31, 2013 are as follows:

					vveigntea
Options	Options				Average
Outstanding	Exercisable		Ex	ercise	remaining
J		Expiry Date	ı	Price	contractual life
2,828,000	2,828,000	August 27, 2015	\$	0.10	2.41
140,000	140,000	November 25, 2015	\$	0.10	2.65
200,000	200,000	December 8, 2015	\$	0.10	2.69
150,000	150,000	May 24, 2016	\$	0.20	3.15
350,000	350,000	June 29, 2016	\$	0.20	3.25
1,300,000	1,300,000	September 6, 2016	\$	0.25	3.44
100,000	100,000	November 24, 2016	\$	0.15	3.65
300,000	285,326	January 18, 2017	\$	0.15	3.81
2,015,000	2,015,000	August 13, 2017	\$	0.24	4.37
300,000	300,000	January 15, 2018	\$	0.15	4.80
7,683,000	7,668,326	_			3.33

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company granted 300,000 incentive stock options to an employees and a consultant. The Company calculates the fair value of all stock options using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The granting of these options resulted in stock-based compensation expense of \$50,372.

The fair value of stock options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2013 was calculated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%
Expected share price volatility	220.65%	152.46% - 286.69%
Risk-free interest rate	1.50%	1.05% - 1.40%
Expected life of options	5 years	2 and 5 years

f) Share-based payment reserve

The share-based payment reserve records items recognized as stock-based compensation expense and other share-based payments until such time that the stock options or warrants are exercised, at which time the corresponding amount will be transferred to share capital. Amounts recorded for forfeited or expired unexercised options and warrants are transferred to deficit. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company transferred \$Nil (December 31, 2012 - \$45,789) for forfeited options and \$Nil (December 31, 2012 - \$36,592) for expired unexercised warrants to deficit.

11. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Related party balances - The following amounts due to related parties are included in trade payables and accrued liabilities:

	March 31,	December 31,	
	2013	2012	
Directors and companies controlled by directors of the Company	\$ 2,765	\$ 2,765	

These amounts are unsecured, non-interest bearing and have no fixed terms of repayment.

Related party transactions -

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company recorded \$2,700 (March 31, 2012 - \$3,600) in rent expense to a company controlled by directors in common.

Related party transactions - Key management personnel compensation:

	Year ended			
	March 31,		March 31,	
	2013		2012	
Geological consulting fees - expensed	\$ 18,000	\$	6,400	
Geological consulting fees - capitalized	-		11,600	
Management fees - expensed	27,000		27,000	
Stock-based compensation	-		-	
	\$ 45,000	\$	45,000	

12. CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

The Company manages its capital structure, which consists of share and working capital, and makes adjustments to it, based on the funds available to the Company, in order to support the acquisition, exploration and development of exploration and evaluation assets. The Board of Directors does not establish quantitative return on capital criteria for management, but rather relies on the expertise of the Company's management to sustain future development of the business.

Management reviews its capital management approach on an ongoing basis and believes that this approach, given the relative size and nature of the Company, is reasonable.

There were no changes in the Company's approach to capital management during the three months ended March 31, 2013. The Company is not exposed to externally imposed capital requirements.

13. FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash, short-term investments, trade payables and due to related parties. The carrying value of these financial instruments approximates their fair value.

Financial instruments measured at fair value are classified into one of three levels in the fair value hierarchy according to the relative reliability of the inputs used to estimate the fair values. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are:

13. FINANCIAL RISK MANAGEMENT (cont'd)

- Level 1 Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities;
- Level 2 Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability either directly or indirectly; and
- Level 3 Inputs that are not based on observable market data.

Cash and short-term investments is measured based on Level 1 inputs of the fair value hierarchy.

The Company is engaged in the mineral exploration field and manages related industry risk issues directly. The Company is potentially at risk for environmental reclamation and fluctuations in commodity based market prices associated with resource property interests. Management is of the opinion that the Company addresses environmental risk and compliance in accordance with industry standards and specific project environmental requirements. There is no certainty that all environmental risks and contingencies have been addressed.

The Company's primary risk exposures are summarized below:

Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk of an unexpected loss if a customer or third party to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations. The Company's credit risk is primarily attributable to its cash accounts. This risk is managed through the use of major banks which are high credit quality financial institutions as determined by rating agencies. The Company's secondary exposure to credit risk is on its receivable. Receivable consists primarily of goods and services tax due from the Federal Government of Canada. Management believes that the Company has no significant concentration of credit risk arising from operations.

Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will not be able to meet its financial obligations as they fall due. The Company's approach to managing liquidity risk is to ensure that it will have sufficient liquidity to meet third party liabilities when due. The Company has working capital of \$952,565 at March 31, 2013. All of the Company's liabilities have contractual maturities of less than 30 days and are subject to normal trade terms. The Company is dependent on management's ability to raise additional funds so that it can manage its financial obligations. The ability to raise funds in capital markets is impacted by general market and economic conditions and the commodity markets in which the Company conducts business.

Interest rate risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that the fair value of future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because of changes in market interest rates. The Company has cash balances and no interest-bearing debt therefore, interest rate risk is minimal.

Foreign currency risk

Currency risk is the risk that the fair values of future cash flows of a financial instrument will fluctuate because they are denominated in currencies that differ from the respective functional currency. The Company is exposed to the financial risk related to fluctuations of foreign exchange rates. The Company operates in Canada and Greenland and a portion of exploration and evaluation assets are incurred in US dollars, Euros and DKK. The Company has not hedged its exposure to currency fluctuations.

14. NON-CASH TRANSACTIONS

The Company incurred non-cash financing and investing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2013 as follows:

	March 31	, 2013	Mar	ch 31, 2012
Common shares issued for exploration and evaluation assets	\$	Nil	\$	90,000
(Note 8)				

15. COMMITMENTS

Effective May 1, 2010, the Company entered into the following agreements for services with directors of the Company and a company in which a director has an interest:

- i) management fees: \$5,000 per month and \$4,000 per month
- ii) consulting fees: previously \$3,500 per month and \$6,000 per month effective June 1, 2011

Each of the agreements shall be continuous and may only be terminated by mutual agreement of the parties, subject to the provisions that in the event there is a change of effective control of the Company, the party shall have the right to terminate the agreement, within sixty days from the date of such change of effective control, upon written notice to the Company. Within thirty days from the date of delivery of such notice, the Company shall forward to the party the amount of money due and owing to the party hereunder to the extent accrued to the effective date of termination.

16. SEGMENTED INFORMATION

The Company operates in one reportable operating segment being that of the acquisition, exploration and development of mineral properties in two geographic segments being Canada and Greenland (Note 8). The Company's geographic segments are as follows:

20ba\ 2 9229. ab 229		3.6 1 21 2012	-	1 21 2012
		March 31, 2013	De	cember 31, 2012
Total Assets				
Canada	\$	2,764,759	\$	3,070,288
Greenland		6,197,664		5,939,414
	\$	8,962,423	\$	9,009,702
		March 31, 2013	De	cember 31, 2012
Exploration and evaluation assets				
Canada	\$	1,665,879	\$	1,667,065
Greenland		6,197,664		5,939,414
	\$	7,863,543	\$	7,606,479
	_			
		March 31, 2013	De	cember 31, 2012
Total Liabilities		March 31, 2013	De	cember 31, 2012
Total Liabilities Canada	\$	March 31, 2013 18,851	De \$	cember 31, 2012 46,990
	\$,		
Canada	\$	18,851		46,990
Canada	\$	18,851 124,893	\$	46,990 16,164
Canada Greenland	\$	18,851 124,893	\$	46,990 16,164
Canada Greenland Total Loss	\$	18,851 124,893 143,744 March 31, 2013	\$ \$ De	46,990 16,164 63,154 cember 31, 2012
Canada Greenland Total Loss Canada	\$ \$	18,851 124,893 143,744	\$ \$ De	46,990 16,164 63,154
Canada Greenland Total Loss	\$	18,851 124,893 143,744 March 31, 2013	\$ \$ De	46,990 16,164 63,154 cember 31, 2012

17. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On April 22, 2013, the Company closed the first tranche of a non-brokered Private Placement. Gross proceeds of \$4,458,345 were raised through the issue of 26,225,561 units. Each unit consist of one common share and one-half of a common share purchase Warrant. Each whole Warrant entitles the holder to purchase one common share at a price of C\$0.21 per share. Finder's fees of \$62,009.50 were paid in cash in connection with the private placement.

The Company granted 200,000 stock options to a consultant exercisable at a price of \$0.15 per share for a period of five years.

On April 1, 2013, the Company issued a \$15,000 cash payment on the Post Creek Property and a \$15,000 cash payment on the Halcyon Property to cover the commitments on the amended property agreements.



Management Discussion and Analysis For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013

Preliminary Information

This Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") contains information up to and including May 29, 2013.

The following MD&A of North American Nickel Inc. (the "Company") should be read in conjunction with the condensed interim financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and the related notes contained therein. In addition, the following should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012 and the notes thereto. It should be noted that the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012 were prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS").

All financial information in this MD&A related to the period ending March 31, 2013 have been prepared in accordance with International financial reporting standards ("IFRS"), and all dollar amounts are expressed in Canadian dollars unless otherwise indicated.

Caution Regarding Forward Looking Statements

Statements contained in this MD&A that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements (within the meaning of the Canadian securities legislation and the U.S. Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995) that involve risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements with respect to the future price of metals; the estimation of mineral reserves and resources, the realization of mineral reserve estimates; the timing and amount of estimated future production, costs of production, and capital expenditures; costs and timing of the development of new deposits; success of exploration activities, permitting time lines, currency fluctuations, requirements for additional capital, government regulation of mining operations, environmental risks, unanticipated reclamation expenses, title disputes or claims, limitations on insurance coverage and the timing and possible outcome of pending litigation. In certain cases, forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "plans", "expects" or "does not expect", "is expected", "budget", "scheduled", "estimates", "forecasts", "intends", "anticipates" or "does not anticipate", or "believes", or variations of such words and phrases or state that certain actions, events or results "may", "could", "would", "might" or "will be taken", "occur" or "be achieved". Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Such risks and other factors include, among others, risks related to the integration of acquisitions; risks related to operations; risks related to joint venture operations; actual results of current exploration activities; actual results of current reclamation activities; conclusions of economic evaluations; changes in project parameters as plans continue to be refined; future prices of metals; possible variations in ore reserves, grade or recovery rates; failure of plant, equipment or processes to operate as anticipated; accidents, labour disputes and other risks of the mining industry; delays in obtaining governmental approvals or financing or in the completion of development or construction activities, as well as those factors discussed in the sections entitled "Risks and Uncertainties" in this MD&A. Although the Company has attempted to identify important factors that could affect the Company and may cause actual actions, events or results to differ materially from those described in forward-looking statements, there may be other factors that cause actions, events or results not to be as anticipated, estimated or intended. There can be no assurance that forwardlooking statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements in this MD&A speak only as of the date hereof. The Company does not undertake any obligation to release publicly any revisions to these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Forward-looking statements and other information contained herein concerning the mining industry and general expectations concerning the mining industry are based on estimates prepared by the Company using data from publicly available industry sources as well as from market research and industry analysis and on assumptions based on data and knowledge of this industry which the Company believes to be reasonable. However, this data is inherently imprecise, although generally indicative of relative market positions, market shares and performance characteristics. While the Company is not aware of any misstatements regarding any industry data presented herein, the industry involves risks and uncertainties and is subject to change based on various factors.

Description of Business

The Company is a mineral exploration and resource development company engaged in the business of acquiring, exploring and evaluating mineral properties, and either joint venturing, developing or disposing of the properties, when the evaluation is complete. The Company is currently focusing its resources in conducting exploration programs on its Sudbury, Ontario nickel properties being Post Creek and Halcyon and in Greenland on the Maniitsoq Property. As well the Company is conducting an exploration program on its Manitoba nickel property being Thompson North.

Company History

North American Nickel Inc. (the "Company") was incorporated under the laws of the Province of British Columbia, Canada, by filing of Memorandum and Articles of Association on September 20, 1983, under the name Rainbow Resources Ltd. The company's name was changed to Widescope Resources Ltd. on May 1, 1984, and to Gemini Technology Inc. on September 17, 1985. In conjunction with a reverse split of its common shares on a five-old for one-new basis, the Company adopted the name International Gemini Technology Inc effective September 23, 1993. The Company's name was changed to Widescope Resources Inc., effective July 12, 2006. Effective April 19, 2010 the Company's shareholders approved a special resolution to reorganize the Company's capital structure by consolidating in a reverse stock split the existing common shares on the basis of each two (2) old shares being equal to one (1) new share and concurrently increasing the authorized capital of the Company from 100,000,000 common shares without par value to an unlimited number of common shares without par value. Also effective this date the Company's name was changed to North American Nickel Inc. to reflect its new focus. All references to common shares, stock options, warrants and weighted average number of shares outstanding in this discussion and the accompanying consolidated financial statements retroactively reflect the share consolidation unless otherwise noted.

In April 2010 the Company initiated a series of actions to realign its focus into the field of nickel exploration in the prolific nickel belts around Sudbury, Ontario and Thompson, Manitoba. These actions were reported in a news release dated April 6, 2010. Additionally, in April 2010 the Company's shareholders elected 4 new directors, to replace three retiring directors. The directors of the Company have appointed new senior management to oversee the daily operations of the Company.

On May 3, 2011 the Company's listing application was conditionally accepted by the TSX-V Venture Exchange. On May 30, 2011 the common shares of the Company began trading under the symbol "NAN".

Trend Analysis

The business of the Company entails significant risks. Any analysis of the trend of the Company's activities would reveal this and there is nothing to suggest that these trends will change.

The recoverability of amounts shown for mineral property costs is dependent upon a number of factors including environmental risk, legal and political risk, the existence of economically recoverable mineral reserves, confirmation of the Company's interests in the underlying mineral claims, the ability of the Company to obtain necessary financing to complete exploration and development, and to attain sufficient net cash flow from future profitable production or disposition proceeds. As of March 31, 2013 the Company had working capital of \$952,565 (December 31, 2012 \$1,334,112) and a deficit of \$16,902,064 (December 31, 2012 \$16,713,822). The Company will require additional funding to meet its obligations and the costs of its operations.

When managing capital, the Company's objective is to ensure the entity continues as a going concern as well as to maintain optimal returns to shareholders and benefits for other stakeholders. Management adjusts the capital structure as necessary in order to support the acquisition and exploration of mineral properties. The Board of Directors does not establish quantitative return on capital criteria for management, but rather relies on the expertise of the Company's management team to manage its capital.

The properties in which the Company currently has an interest are in the exploration stage. As such, the Company is dependent on external financing to fund its activities. In order to carry out the planned exploration and pay for administrative costs, the Company will spend its existing working capital and raise additional amounts as needed. The Company will continue to assess new properties and seek to acquire interests in additional properties if there is sufficient geologic or economic potential and if it has adequate financial resources are available to do so. The Company has not yet determined whether these properties contain ore reserves that are economically recoverable.

Resource Properties

All technical information in this document has been reviewed by Dr. Mark Fedikow, PGeo, the qualified person for the Company under National Instrument 43-101.

Maniitsoq, Greenland:

The project is located adjacent to the coastline 160 km north of Nuuk, the capital of Greenland (a safe, stable, mining-friendly jurisdiction) and covers numerous high-grade nickel-copper & PGM sulphide occurrences associated with norite and other maficultramafic intrusions. The deep water coastline adjacent to Maniitsoq is typical to that of Greenland's south west coast being pack ice free and having a all year-round shipping season. The year round shipping conditions are due to the Irmenger current, a tributary of the warming Gulf Stream flowing continuously passed the south west coastline of Greenland. NAN acquired the project because it believes that modern, time-domain, helicopter EM systems will be more effective at detecting nickel sulphide deposits in the rugged terrain of Maniitsoq than previous geophysical surveys performed in the 1990's that failed to produce any drill targets. Helicopter TEM systems were not available in 1990's and their availability now gives NAN a significant advantage over previous explorers.

Effective August 15, 2011, the Company was granted an exploration license (the "Sulussugut License") by the Bureau of Minerals and Petroleum ("BMP") of Greenland for exclusive exploration rights of an area located near Sulussugut, Greenland. The Company paid a license fee of \$5,742 (Danish krones ("DKK") 31,400) upon granting of the Sulussugut License. The Sulussugut License is valid for 5 years until December 31, 2015, with December 31, 2011 being the first year.

On June 28, 2012, the Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland announced that the Maniitsoq Structure, which the Company's mineral exploration licenses cover a large portion of, is "The remains of a gigantic, 3 billion year old meteorite impact..." The paper concluded that the nickel-bearing Greenland Norite Belt, the focus of exploration for the Company, is directly related to this major geological event which is believed to be the oldest, and possibly the largest, such meteorite impact event so far recognized on Earth. The Company is working on the hypothesis that the impact created the extensive magma conduit system that now hosts the norites and Ni-Cu-Co-PGM mineralization.

The Company completed the first year exploration requirement, of a minimum of DKK 7,213,460 (approximately CDN \$1,281,000), during the year ended December 31, 2011 by incurring \$3,086,625 on the Sulussugut License.

The Company's minimum required exploration expenditure for the second year was DKK 7,361,890 (approximately CDN \$1,307,330). During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company incurred \$2,290,291 in exploration costs on the Sulussugut License.

During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company's expenditures exceeded the minimum requirement and the Company was granted a credit of DKK 1,275,997 (approximately CDN \$227,000) for surplus exploration expenditures, which may be carried forward up to December 31, 2014 as a reduction of future exploration expenditure requirements.

The required minimum exploration expenditures on the Sulussugut License for years 3-5, ending December 31, 2015, have not yet been determined but, are based on an annual approximation of DKK 24,405,000 (approximately CDN \$4,333,870). This assumes that the Sulussugut License area remains at its current size of 4,841 square kilometres. For every square kilometre that the license is reduced the required annual expenditure decreases by approximately DKK 5,041. The Company is obligated to reduce the license area by at least 30% (1,452 square kilometres) by December 31, 2013.

Effective March 4, 2012, the Company was granted an additional exploration license (the "Ininngui License") by the BMP of Greenland for exclusive exploration rights of an area located near Ininngui, Greenland. The Company paid a license fee of \$5,755 (DKK 32,200) upon granting of the Ininngui License. The Ininngui License is valid for 5 years until December 31, 2016, with December 31, 2012 being the first year.

The Company completed the first year exploration requirement, of a minimum of DKK 360,380(approximately \$64,000), during the year ended December 31, 2012 by incurring \$551,001 on the Iningui License.

The required minimum exploration expenditures for year 2 on the Ininngui License are based an approximate DKK 242,000 (approximately CDN \$43,000). The required minimum exploration expenditures for years 3-5, ending December 31, 2016 have not yet been determined but, are based on an annual approximation of DKK 2,730,000 (approximately CDN \$480,000).

Future required minimum exploration expenditures will be adjusted each year on the basis of the change to the Danish Consumer Price Index.

Should the Company not incur the minimum exploration expenditures on either license in any one year from years 2-5, the Company may pay 50% of the difference in cash to BMP as full compensation for that year. This procedure may not be used for more than 2 consecutive calendar years and to December 31, 2012, the Company has not used the procedure for either license.

After year 5, the Company may apply for an additional 5 years for either license. Thereafter, the Company may apply for a license for up to 6 additional years, in 2 year license increments. The Company will be required to pay additional license fees and will be obligated to incur minimum exploration costs for such years.

The Company may terminate the licenses at any time; however any unfulfilled obligations according to the license will remain in force, regardless of the termination.

In conjunction with the granting of the Sulussugut Licence, the Company has entered into an arm's length Intellectual Property and Data Acquisition Agreement (the "IP Acquisition Agreement") with Hunter Minerals Pty Limited ("Hunter") and Spar Resources Pty Limited ("Spar"). Pursuant to the IP Acquisition Agreement, Hunter and Spar agreed to sell the IP Rights to the Company in consideration for the Company paying \$300,000 in cash (\$150,000 to each of Hunter and Spar which is paid) and the issuing of 12,960,000 share purchase warrants, 6,480,000 to each of Hunter and Spar exercisable for a period of five years. The warrants are exercisable at the following prices, 4,750,000 of the warrants are at a price of \$0.50 per share, 4,750,000 of the warrants are at a price of \$0.70 per share and 3,460,000 of the warrants are at a price of \$1.00 per share. The warrants are subject to an accelerated exercise provision in the event the Company relinquishes its interests in the Maniitsoq Licenses or any other mineral titles held within a defined area of interest without receiving consideration for such relinquishment. The granted warrants have been recorded at a fair value of \$1,813,263 using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. Granting to each of Hunter and Spar or their designates a 1.25% net smelter returns royalty, subject to rights of NAN to reduce both royalties to a 0.5% net smelter returns royalty upon payment to each of Hunter and Spar (or their designates) of \$1,000,000 on or before the 60th day following a decision to commence commercial production on the mineral properties. On August 30, 2011 the Company issued 200,000 common shares at \$0.14 per share for a value of \$28,000 as a finder's fee on the Greenland project.

Performance Summary:

In August 2011, known showings and targets, identified from a compilation of historical data, were examined by a team of two to three geologists plus a field assistant. A total of 54 representative rock samples were collected and submitted to Activation Laboratories for analysis. Drill cores from several Kyrolitselskabet Oresund A/S drill holes were examined at a government core facility in Kangerlussuaq, Greenland. Rock samples from previously known occurrences assayed up to 3.35% Ni and confirmed previous sampling results by Kyrolitselskabet Øresund A/S (1965-71, Cominco Ltd. (1995-96) and Falconbridge Greenland A/S (1993-2000).

Based on historical data and observations made during the field program, two areas, covering a total of 375 square kilometres, were selected for helicopter geophysical (electromagnetic and magnetic) surveying. SkyTEM ApS of Beder, Denmark was contracted to do the surveying, which commenced on September 17, 2011 and was completed on October 5, 2011. A total of 2,217 line-kilometers were flown. The quality of the data was monitored on a daily basis during the course of the survey by Condor Consulting of Lakewood, Colorado. A levelled, digital database was received from SkyTEM on November 17, 2011 and a complete logistical and processing report was received on December 6, 2011. Condor Consulting picked electromagnetic anomalies from the dataset. A total of 25 conductive zones, some corresponding to known nickel sulphide mineralization, were identified.

On December 27, 2011 the Company applied for a mineral exploration license covering approximately 142 square kilometers contiguous with its original license (2011/54).

In January and February 2012, Condor Consulting modeled 18 of the 25 conductive zones identified by the 2011 SkyTEM survey in three dimensions using Electromagnetic Imaging Technology's Maxwell software package. Three of the eighteen modelled targets were selected for priority follow-up during the 2012 field season ahead of the first drill program on the property by the Company.

From June 7, 2012 to July 18, 2012 a helicopter electromagnetic survey, totaling 3,532 line-kilometers, was flown over portions of mineral exploration licences 2011/54 and 2012/28. The survey was performed by Geotech Ltd. of Aurora, Ontario. The quality of the data was monitored on a daily basis during the course of the survey by Condor Consulting. A levelled, digital database was received from Geotech on July 31, 2012 and a complete logistical and processing report was received on August 29, 2012. A preliminary interpretation of the data was done between August 1-13, 2012.

A field camp was mobilized from Nuuk to the project area on August 13, 2012. Ground checking of geophysical anomalies identified from the SkyTEM and VTEM surveys commenced on August 15, 2012 and a total of 40 rock samples (including standards and blanks) were submitted for geochemical/assay analysis. A diamond drill was mobilized to the project on August 25, 2012 and nine holes totaling 1,551 meters were drilled to test selected electromagnetic anomalies identified from the SkyTEM and VTEM surveys. The drilling was performed by Cartwright Drilling Inc. of Goose Bay, Labrador and was done in four target areas: Imiak Hill, Spotty Hill, Fossilik and P-59. The core was logged at the field camp and a total of 636 sawn core samples (including standards and blanks) were submitted for geochemical/assay analysis. Seven of the holes were surveyed with a three-component, down-hole electromagnetic probe operated by Crone Geophysics and Exploration Ltd. of Mississauga, Ontario. Geochemical/assay samples were submitted to Activation Laboratories Ltd. for analysis. Drilling was completed on

September 16, 2012 and the drill and camp were demobilized from the project site by September 23, 2012. Analytical results from drill core and surface samples are pending.

On November 14, 2012, the Company announced the intersection of high grade nickel – copper mineralization at Imiak Hill. Two of the holes (MQ-12-001 and 002), both drilled on the same section, cored significant sulphide mineralization. The mineralization in MQ-12-001 averaged 1.36% Ni, 0.52% Cu and 0.07% Co over 16.41 meters including 5.12 meters at 2.20% Ni, 0.55% Cu and 0.07% Co. The mineralization in MQ-12-002 averaged 0.55% Ni, 0.20% Cu and 0.02% Co over 66.08 meters and included 14.18 meters at 1.33% Ni, 0.38% Cu and 0.04% Co. Holes MQ-12-003 and 004 did not intersect any significant mineralization and down-hole electromagnetic surveys in the holes indicated that both passed beneath the plunge of the mineralization.

On December 3, 2012, the Company announced a new discovery at Spotty Hill consisting of nickel-copper and PGE mineralization. The mineralization starts 50 metres below surface and also below previous shallow drilling that was completed in 1960-70's. MQ-12-005 intersected 123.94 meters (m) grading: 0.81% Nickel (Ni), 0.21% Copper (Cu), 0.03% Cobalt (Co) & 0.26 g/t Platinum (Pt) + Palladium (Pd) + Gold (Au).

During the three months ended March 31, 2013:

On January 15, 2013, the Company announced the completion of all analytical results from the 2012 drilling program confirming two significant areas of nickel-copper PGE mineralization.

On March 15, 2013, the Company announced first phase of QEMSCAN results, with visible and fine to coarse grained pentlandite in two of the three samples. Particle mineral analysis (PMA) of larger, coarse crushed core samples to determine mineralogy, grain size distribution and spatial characterization including liberation of sulphide minerals and Electron microprobe analysis (EMPA) for quantitative mineral chemistry to determine any solid solution including nickel in pyrrhotite and silicates are pending.

Polished sections have been prepared from selected rock samples in order to determine their mineralogy and petrology, Vancouver Petrographics have completed a report to the geological team.

Subsequent Events

On May 28, 2013 the Company announced that it had finalized its 2013 exploration plan for its 100% owned Maniitsoq project. The program, which is scheduled to commence in mid-June, will consist of a minimum of 3,000 meters of diamond drilling to follow-up on 2012 discoveries and test new geological, geophysical and geochemical targets identified from a review of exploration datasets. Surface pulse time domain electromagnetic (PEM) surveys and 550 line-km of helicopter time domain, electromagnetic and magnetic surveying will also be undertaken in areas not covered in 2011 and 2012.

Activities contemplated in the future

Channel sampling of disseminated sulphide zones and possible surface geophysical surveys are contemplated for Imiak and Spotty Hill.

Sudbury, Ontario nickel properties:

Post Creek Property

On December 23, 2009, the Company executed a letter of intent whereby the Company has an option to acquire the mineral claim known as the Post Creek Property located within the Sudbury Mining District of Ontario. The Company paid a non-refundable deposit of \$7,500. On April 5, 2010 the Company entered into an option agreement to acquire rights to Post Creek Property. On March 12, 2013 the Post Creek Property Option Agreement was amended, as indicated in the schedule below. In order to acquire 100% working interests in the property, subject to certain net smelter return royalties ("NSR") and advance royalty payments the Company agreed to the following amended consideration. Further, commencing the amended date of August 1, 2015, if the Company exercises its option, the Company will be obligated to pay advances on the NSR of \$10,000 per annum, which will be deducted from any payments to be made under the NSR:

		Issuance of		Exp	loration	
Date	 Cash	shares	_	Requ	irements	_
On or before April 5, 2010	\$ 12,500	400,000	paid & issued			
On or before April 5, 2011	\$ 30,000	300,000	paid & issued	\$	15,000	Exploration requirements to April 5, 2011 \$624,715
On or before April 5, 2012	\$ 50,000	300,000	paid & issued	\$	15,000	Exploration requirements to April 5, 2012 \$830,127
On or before April 5, 2013	\$ 15,000	-	paid	\$	15,000	Exploration requirements to April 5, 2013 \$975,049
On or before April 5, 2014	\$ 15,000	-		\$	15,000	
On or before April 5, 2015	\$ 15,000	-		\$	15,000	

The property is located 35 km east of Sudbury in Norman and Parkin townships and consists of 35 contiguous unpatented mining claims and one isolated claim covering an area of 688 hectares. It is strategically located adjacent to the producing Podolsky copper-nickel-platinum group metal deposit of FNX Mining. The property lies along the extension of the Whistle Offset Dyke Structure which is a major geological control for Ni-Cu-PGM mineralization. This structure hosted the former INCO Whistle Offset copper-nickel-PGM Mine as well as the Podolsky North and Podolsky 2000 copper-precious metal deposits. FNX forecast the production of 372,049 tons of ore at Podolsky yielding 1.8 million pounds of payable nickel, 28.5 million pounds of payable copper and 27,300 ounces of payable platinum, palladium and gold for 2009. Previous operators located the extension of the Whistle Offset Dyke structure on the Post Creek property as a direct result of their geological, geophysical and Mobile Metal lon geochemical surveys. A reconnaissance rock sample collected along the structure assayed 0.83% Ni, 0.74% Cu, 0.07% Co, 2.24 g/t Pt and 1.05 g/t Pd. Significant potential for nickel-copper-PGM is demonstrated on the Post Creek property.

Performance Summary:

The exploration program to evaluate the mineral potential of the Whistle Offset Dyke Structure was initiated September 24, 2010. This project included outcrop stripping, washing and detailed mapping. There were also a number of reconnaissance programs initiated concurrently to evaluate the Post Creek property for shallowly-buried mineralization. The geophysical approach was based on the use of a beep mat and selected traverses across the property were undertaken. A number of elevated EM responses were obtained and a number of these areas were stripped of overburden using an excavator and washed using a Wajax pump. Exposed mineralization was chip sampled and sent to SGS Mineral Services for a multi-element analysis including assay for nickel, copper, cobalt, gold, platinum and palladium. Selected soil geochemical surveys were undertaken over historic IP chargeability anomalies. Samples were submitted June 9, 2011 to SGS Mineral Services for analysis using the Mobile Metal Ion Technology.

Extensive outcrop stripping with a mechanical excavator aided by power washing of outcrops was completed. Outcrop sampling, where warranted, was continued and assistance from experienced Sudbury geological consultants was retained for short periods to demonstrate the subtleties of mapping offset dyke structures. Ground VLF-EM and magnetic surveys were initiated.

Airborne VTEM geophysical survey results and ground IP and magnetic surveys undertaken by previous operators were obtained from the geophysical contractors in digital formats and integrated with geological and geochemical databases.

October 18, 2011 new geophysical data was acquired from Abitibi Geophysics from a newly cut grid on the Post Creek property. Electromagnetic and magnetic anomalies were detected and a seven hole diamond drill program consisting of 1532.5 metres. The exploration included down-hole pulsing to detect potential anomalies for future drill-testing.

On December 14, 2011 a seven hole diamond drill program was planned and implemented with Chenier drilling of Capreol, Ontario contracted to carry out a 1532.5 metre program.

On December 14, 2011 the Company announced the offset dyke or CJ Zone was expanded subsequent to previous ground geophysical surveys and prospecting by Cecil Johnson. Geophysical anomalies detected by the Abitibi Infinitem geophysical survey were drill tested and indicated the geophysical responses were attributable to heavily disseminated to near-solid pyrrhotite, pyrite and minor chalcopyrite. These mineralized zones were hosted within large blocks of mafic volcanic rocks present within the offset dyke and with oxide and lean iron formation. Drill core was sampled and submitted for assay to AGAT Laboratories. Results indicate generally low base and precious metal values in this mineralization. The mineralization is interpreted to be of Archean age occurring as veins/layers and disseminations within Archean rocks.

Analytical data, geological maps and historic geophysical information were compiled by Dr. Walter Peredery to form the basis for a 43-101 technical report which was submitted to the TSX Exchange on May 18, 2011 as part of listing requirements for North American Nickel. The report was accepted by the Exchange on May 27, 2011.

August 16, 2011, detailed prospecting by Mr. Cecil Johnson on behalf of the Company on the Post Creek property has resulted in the discovery of a previously unrecognized mineralized Offset dyke. The Offset dykes are recognized in the Sudbury mining camp as hosts to significant ore deposits and as such this discovery is considered extremely important. Follow-up outcrop stripping using a mechanical excavator accompanied by power washing to prepare the outcrop area for mapping and sampling has been initiated. The offset dyke has been named "the Cecil Johnson Offset Dyke" or "CJ#1" after Mr. Johnson, the discoverer.

A review of all characteristics of the CJ target zone and the results of diamond drilling indicate the CJ Zone is more accurately described as a breccias belt rather than a sharp-walled offset dyke. The breccias belt is along strike from the Podolsky nickel-copper-platinum group metal mine and as such the CJ Zone is still considered as a high-priority exploration target. The mineral potential of this re-interpreted offset dyke has been elevated owing to observations made by North American Nickel geologists aided by Andy Bite, a well-known and highly respected Sudbury geologist. The breccia belt is now interpreted as an embayment structure and will be explored accordingly.

A petrographic report on drill core samples from 2011 program was completed. The thin sections cut from the drill core confirm that the bottom of this new embayment has not been intersected by drilling, and consequently, the most prospective section of the observed embayment has not been reached. The petrographic work confirmed the size and extent of the mapped embayment, which is still open to the east and south, and possibly to the north as well.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013:

On March 12, 2013, the Company amended the Property Option Agreements on the Post Creek Property. The option agreement was amended by modifying the property expenditure and property payment requirements in order for the Optionee to earn a 100% interest in the claims.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company incurred \$7,960 (December 31, 2012 - \$49,466) in exploration costs on the Post Creek Property.

Subsequent Events

No subsequent event to report in the period.

Activities contemplated in the future

A joint venture partner continues to be sought out to follow-up the CJ Embayment structure.

Halcyon Property

On April 5, 2010, the Company entered into an option agreement to acquire rights to Halcyon Property. On March 12, 2013 the Halcyon Property Option Agreement was amended, as indicated in the schedule below. In order to acquire up to a 100% working interests in the property, subject to certain net smelter return royalties ("NSR") and advance royalty payments the Company agreed to the following amended consideration. Further, commencing the amended date of August 1, 2015, if the Company exercises its option, the Company will be obligated to pay advances on the NSR of \$8,000 per annum, which will be deducted from any payments to be made under the NSR:

		Issuance of		Ехр	loration	
Date	Cash	shares		Requ	irements	
On or before April 5, 2010	\$ 15,000	300,000	paid & issued			
On or before April 5, 2011	\$ 25,000	200,000	paid & issued	\$	22,000	Exploration requirements to April 5, 2011 \$40,299
On or before April 5, 2012	\$ 35,000	200,000	paid & issued	\$	22,000	Exploration requirements to April 5, 2012 \$53,985
On or before April 5, 2013	\$ 15,000	_	paid	\$	22,000	Exploration requirements to April 5, 2013 \$80,675
On or before April 5, 2014	\$ 15,000	-		\$	22,000	p
On or before April 5, 2015	\$ 15,000	-		\$	22,000	

The property is located 35 Km NNE of Sudbury in the SE corner of Parkin Twp, and consists of 46 unpatented mining claims. It is readily accessible by paved and all-weather gravel road. Halcyon is adjacent to the Post Creek property and contains the extension of the metallogenetically significant Whistle Offset Structure now interpreted to represent an embayment. It is

approximately 2 km north of the producing Podolsky Mine of FNX Mining. Previous operators on the property defined numerous conductive zones based on induced polarization (I.P.) surveys with coincident anomalous soil geochemistry. Base and precious metal mineralization have been found in multiple locations on the property but follow-up work was never done. The former producing Jon Smith Mine (nickel-copper-cobalt-platinum) is situated 1 km North of the property.

Performance Summary:

Data compilation was initiated with the aim of delineating potential areas for follow-up exploration. Based on newly acquired information from the Post Creek property the adjacent Halcyon property will be the target of geological prospecting and geophysical activities.

On September 14, 2011 a partial cut grid was established on the western edge of the Halcyon property to accommodate the Abitibi Geophysics EM survey covering the adjacent Post Creek property.

On December 14, 2011, prospecting and a small amount of outcrop stripping were completed in preparation for a drill program. A single drill hole was put down on the southeast corner of the property with the purpose of providing geological information and to provide a platform for bore hole pulse EM ("BHPEM"). No anomalies were detected with the BHPEM although quartz diorite breccia and partial melt material with 2-3% disseminated pyrrhotite and chalcopyrite was intersected over short core lengths.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013:

On March 12, 2013, the Company amended the Property Option Agreements on the Halcyon Property. The option agreement was amended by modifying the property expenditure and property payment requirements in order for the Optionee to earn a 100% interest in the claims.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company incurred \$7,171 (December 31, 2012 - \$19,516) in exploration costs on the Halcyon Property.

Subsequent Events

No subsequent event to report in the period.

Activities contemplated in the future

A joint venture partner continues to be sought out to follow-up the CJ Embayment structure.

Wanapitei Intrusive Complex ("WIC") Project

On April 17, 2012, the Company entered into a property option agreement to earn a 100% interest in a nickel-copper-platinum group element property in the Sudbury area. The Wahnapitae Intrusive Complex property ("WIC Property") comprises 10 unpatented claims that cover an area measuring 3 km by 2km of the contral portion of the Complex. The Company must make cash payments in the aggregate amount of \$120,000 and issue an aggregate of 225,000 common shares to the optionors over the three year term of the Option Agreement. The Company must also fund minimum exploration expenses on the WIC property of \$63,000 over the term of the Option Agreement. Upon exercise of the option, the optionors will retain a 2% net smelter royalty ("NSR") in the WIC Property and the Company will be required to make advance NSR payments of \$8,000 per annum, commencing in August 2015. The Company has the right to buy back 50% of the NSR for \$1,000,000 at any time prior to the commencement of commercial production on the WIC Property. In order to acquire 100% working interests in the property the Company agreed to the following consideration:

		Issuance of		Exp	loration
Date	Cash	shares		Requ	irements
On or before April 30, 2012	\$ 20,000	75,000	paid & issued	\$	-
On or before April 30, 2013	\$ 25,000	75,000	Dropped prior to April 17, 2013	\$	21,000
On or before April 30, 2014	\$ 35,000	75,000		\$	21,000
On or before April 30, 2015	\$ 40,000	-		\$	21,000

The WIC property is situated 13km southeast of Sudbury and 1 km south of Trans Canada Highway 17 at Wahnapitae. It is an elongate 5.6 km by 2.4 km layered mafic intrusion trending northeast-southwest that comprises nickel-copper-PGE mineralized gabbro-norite and a gabbro "Injection Breccia Zone". The gabbro-norite segment includes massive and layered olivine-bearing

norite, norite and gabbro whereas the Injection Breccia Zone consists of massive to fine-and coarse-grained gabbro. Geochemical and mineralogical studies at Laurentian University (Sudbury, Ontario) indicate the norite and gabbro have been intruded into continental settings near a plate margin with evidence to suggest up to 40% contamination of the parent magma with continental curst material. Depletion of nickel and copper in the gabbro-norite indicates some fractionation of these metals has occurred.

The WIC Property has received only sporadic exploration and no systematic programs using modern techniques and technologies have been applied to the property. Historic work along the southern edge of the WIC Property has documented the presence of extensive zones of gossanous outcrop. Public records show that other sampling of mineralization within the project area show enrichment in Ni-Cu-Co-PGMs. Early ground geophysical surveys outlined multiple electromagnetic conductors at the southern edge of the Complex that were never drill tested and large north-south magnetic anomalies have been delineated at the eastern edge of the Complex.

Performance Summary:

North American Nickel geologist visited numerous sulfide occurrences within the Southeastern Gabbro Norite zone to investigate the style of mineralization and collect samples for geochemistry (October 13th 2011). Future exploration was warranted focusing on the three separate Gabbro Norite zones to expand known mineralization and collect samples to determine the distribution of the Ni, Cu, and PGE within the intrusion. Of particular interest is the distribution of PGE grades within the sulfide mineralization, which is currently restricted to the Central Gabbro Norite zones.

The compilation of historic exploration data was completed. A two-man prospecting team collected 31 rock samples for analysis of PGE, gold, nickel and copper mineralization.

An assessment report has been completed for this work and submitted to Sudbury District Mining Recorders office.

Based on the compilation for the Wanapitei Intrusive Complex, the next stage in the project was the design and implementation of an airborne EM/MAG survey. This survey was flown in late August 2012 and was designed to identify near solid to solid sulphide mineralization to a depth of 250 metres below surface.

A grid-based rock geochemical survey was initiated to assess the WIC for base and precious metals. Results from this program failed to outline significant areas of PGE and nickel / copper mineralization.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013:

The property was returned to the vendors prior to April 17, 2013.

Manitoba nickel properties:

On July 23, 2010 the Company issued 6,000,000 shares at a price of \$0.06 per share to a company with common directors in accordance with the Purchase and Sale Agreement entered into on April 5, 2010 to acquire ownership of the South Bay (dropped in 2012), Thompson North and Cedar Lake (dropped in 2012) properties in Manitoba, subject to a 2% NSR reserved by the vendor, in exchange for a \$1,000 cash payment (paid) and 6,000,000 post-consolidation common shares valued at \$0.06 per share (issued).

Thompson North

Performance Summary:

The property overlies the world class Thompson Nickel Belt ("TNB") where Vale Inco continues to mine nickel-copper-cobalt and platinum group element mineralization hosted within sedimentary and mafic intrusive rocks. Based on research by the Manitoba Geological Survey the northeastern extension of the TNB has been traced through the Thompson North property making the area highly attractive for repetitions of TNB mineralization. Airborne geophysics (VTEM) has been flown over the property and numerous anomalous magnetic and electromagnetic features identified. Follow-up exploration will be based upon ranking and modeling of geophysics and soil geochemical surveys.

A compilation of historic exploration information was contracted to Revelation Geoscience Ltd. A report was completed and will be the basis for future exploration planning. Upon the review of this report, North American Nickel acquired mineral exploration licences to cover the most favourable targets from the report. A total of eight MEL's were acquired, totaling over 58,000 hectares and covering 16 targets from the Revelation report. . Subsequent to data review and re-prioritization the eight

MELs have been reduced to three (MEL 994A, 995A and 996A). The MELs were issued for a period of three years effective April 5, 2013. Targets on these MELs are to be drill tested in 2014.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013:

There have been no current activities on the property.

Subsequent Events

No subsequent events to report in the period.

Activities contemplated in the future

Targets have been prioritized and selected for follow-up diamond drilling in a winter 2014 program. A work permit has been granted subsequent to consultation with Nelson House First Nation, and Split Lake First Nations

Selected Financial Information

The Company's condensed interim financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2013 have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") and practices. Currency amounts are in Canadian dollars, except where stated otherwise. The following selected financial information is taken from the Condensed Financial Statements and should be read in conjunction with those statements.

	March 31, 2013	For the three months e March 31, 2012	nde	ed March 31, 2011
Financial Results				
Net loss	\$ 188,242	\$ 185,591	\$	62,660
Basic loss per share	0.00	0.00		0.00
As at:	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012		December 31, 2011
Balance Sheet Data				
Share capital	\$ 22,796,694	\$ 22,786,694	\$	18,782,644
Common shares issued	80,660,193	80,560,193		55,058,193
Weighted average shares outstanding	80,636,860	69,179,749		46,464,082
Total assets	\$ 8,962,423	\$ 9,009,702	\$	6,109,703
Net assets (liabilities)	8,818,679	8,946,548		5,943,608
Exchange rates (Cdn\$ to U.S.\$) period average	1.0086	1.0004		1.0110

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 compared with Three Months Ended March 31, 2012

For the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company incurred a net loss of \$188,242 compared to a net loss of \$185,591 for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The increase of \$2,651 in net loss is a result of general operating costs increased by \$4,110 which is mainly the result of an increase of \$31,388 in share-based payments for the fair value of stock options granted in the period, investor relation costs decreased by \$42,624 due to a decrease in investor relation consulting fees and less trade show travel, an increase in geological consulting fees of \$13,171, a decrease of \$5,642 in professional fees mainly legal fees, and a net increase in general operations of \$7,818 as a result of the daily activities taking place. There was a foreign exchange loss of \$6,875 as a result of exploration in Greenland and the costs in the DKK currency.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company had total assets of \$8,962,423 a decrease of \$47,279 since December 31, 2012 which reported total assets of \$9,009,702. This decrease is a result general operating costs which have stayed in line with the previous year. The Company had an increase in exploration and evaluation assets of \$257,064 since December 31, 2012 due to the exploration program planned for Greenland. The Company in the year 2012 raised \$3,400,000 through a private placement and spent \$3,023,347 on property exploration during the year ending 2012.

Selected Financial Data Quarterly

	Three months ended								
		March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012	September 30, 2012	June 30, 2012				
Net loss	\$	(188,242)	\$ (394,308)	\$ (538,690)	\$ (334,973)				
Basic loss per share		0.00	0.01	0.01	0.01				

Three months anded

	Three months ended					
	 March 31, 2012	December 31, 2011	September 30, 2011	June 30, 2011		
Net loss	\$ (185,591)	\$ (384,284)	\$ (374,561) \$	(262,686)		
Basic loss per share	0.00	0.00	(0.01)	(0.01)		

Balance Sheet Data									
As at:	March 3	31, 2013	0	Decemb	er 31, 2012	Sept	emb	er 30, 2012	June 30, 2012
Share capital	\$	22,796,694	\$		22,786,694	\$		22,453,694	\$ 22,422,944
Common shares issued		80,660,193			80,560,193			77,230,193	76,922,693
Weighted average shares outstanding		80,636,860			69,179,749			66,117,922	60,922,973
Total assets	\$	8,962,423		\$	9,009,702		\$	9,266,296	\$ 9,331,948
Net assets (liabilities)	\$	8,818,679		\$	8,946,548		\$	8,994,187	\$ 9,097,786
As at:	March 3	31, 2012		Decemb	er 31, 2011	Sept	embe	er 30, 2011	June 30, 2011
Share capital	\$	18,998,694	\$		18,782,644	\$		18,671,750	\$ 18,371,250
Common shares issued		56,747,693			55,058,193			55,058,193	51,853,193
Weighted average shares outstanding		56,426,001			46,464,082			43,571,377	38,918,162
Total assets	\$	6,032,812		\$	6,109,703		\$	8,022,816	\$ 4,306,174
Net assets (liabilities)	\$	5,985,952		\$	5,943,608		\$	7,696,949	\$ 4,212,427

The quarters ended September 30, 2012, September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2011 recorded an increased net loss as a result of share-based compensation charges reported of \$404,340, \$275,000 and \$97,760 respectively as a result of stock option grants in those quarters. The quarters ended December 31, 2012, June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 recorded property impairment amounts of \$233,760, \$134,543 and \$267,462 respectively as a result of writing down WIC Property, South Bay property and the Bell and Woods Creek properties and Cedar Lake property. In the quarter ended June 30, 2011 the Company raised funds of \$3,200,000 through a private placement accounting for the increase in total assets. In the quarter ended September 30, 2011 the Company entered into an intellectual property and data acquisition agreement in conjunction with the acquisition of the Maniitsoq mineral exploration license for a fair value of \$3,240,000 increasing total assets reported. In the quarter ended December 31, 2011 the Company recorded an adjustment on the fair value of the amount calculated for the intellectual property and data acquisition agreement of \$1,298,737 reducing total assets. In the quarter ended June 30, 2012 the Company raised funds of \$3,400,000 through a private placement increasing total assets.

Liquidity

As at March 31, 2013 the Company had accumulated losses totaling \$16,902,064. The Company had working capital of \$952,565 at March 31, 2013. The continuation of the Company is dependent upon the continued financial support of shareholders, its ability to raise capital through the issuance of its securities, as well as obtaining long-term financing when the company concludes an appropriate merger or acquisition agreement. On April 22, 2013, the Company closed the first tranche of a non-brokered Private Placement. Gross proceeds of \$4,458,345 were raised through the issue of 26,225,561 units. Each unit consist of one common share and one-half of a common share purchase Warrant. Each whole Warrant entitles the holder to purchase one common share at a price of C\$0.21 per share. Finder's fees of \$62,009.50 were paid in cash in connection with the private placement.

The Company has financed its operations to date primarily through the issuance of common shares and exercise of stock options and warrants. The Company continues to seek capital through various means including the issuance of equity and/or debt and the securing of joint venture partners where appropriate.

Capital Management

When managing capital, the Company's objective is to ensure the entity continues as a going concern as well as to maintain optimal returns to shareholders and benefits for other stakeholders. Management adjusts the capital structure as necessary in order to support the acquisition and exploration of mineral properties. The Board of Directors does not establish quantitative return on capital criteria for management, but rather relies on the expertise of the Company's management team to manage its capital.

The properties in which the Company currently has an interest are in the exploration stage. As such, the Company is dependent on external financing to fund its activities. In order to carry out the planned exploration and pay for administrative costs, the Company will spend its existing working capital and raise additional amounts as needed. The Company will continue to assess new properties and seek to acquire an interest in additional properties if it feels there is sufficient geologic or economic potential and if it has adequate financial resources to do so.

Management considers its approach to capital management to be appropriate given the relative size of the Company. There were no changes in the Company's approach to capital management during the period.

Financial Instruments and Other Instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, receivables and trade payables and accrued liabilities. Cash and cash equivalents are designated as held for trading and therefore carried at fair value, with the unrealized gain or loss recorded in income. Receivables are designated as loan receivables and trade payables, are designated as other financial liabilities and recorded at amortized cost. Marketable securities are available for sale with the unrealized gain or loss recorded in other comprehensive income.

The fair value hierarchy establishes three levels to classify inputs to the valuation techniques used to measure fair value. Level 1 inputs are quoted market prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted market prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 3 inputs are unobservable (supported by little or no market activity).

Cash and cash equivalents are stated at fair value and are classified as Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. The fair values of accounts receivables and trade payables approximate carrying value because of the short term nature of these instruments.

The fair value of available for sale investments are determined based on a market approach reflecting the closing price of each particular security at the closing balance sheet date. The closing price is a quoted market price obtained from the exchange that is the principal active market for the particular security, and therefore available for sale securities are classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy.

Financial Instrument Risk Factors

Risk management is carried out by the Company's management team with guidance from the Board of Directors. The Company's risk exposures and their impact on the Company's financial instruments are summarized below:

Credit Risk

The Company's credit risk is primarily attributable to cash, short-term investments and amounts receivable. Cash and cash equivalents, and short-term investments are held with one reputable Canadian chartered bank which is closely monitored by management. Financial instruments included in amounts receivable consist primarily of HST/GST recoverable from the Canadian government. Management believes that the credit risk concentration with respect to financial instruments included in cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and amounts receivable is minimal.

Liquidity Risk

The Company's approach to managing liquidity risk is to ensure that it will have sufficient liquidity to meet liabilities when due. As at March 31, 2013, the Company held cash and a short-term investment totaling \$1,064,804 (December 31, 2012 - \$1,366,463) and had current liabilities of \$143,744 (December 31, 2012 - \$63,154). All of the Company's liabilities have contractual maturities of less than 30 days and are subject to normal trade terms.

Market Risk

i) Interest Rate Risk

The Company had cash balances and no interest bearing debt. The Company's current policy is to invest excess cash in investment-grade short-term deposit certificates issued by its banking institutions. The Company periodically monitors the investments it makes and is satisfied with the creditworthiness of its banks. As of March 31, 2013, the Company had non-interest bearing accounts with one Canadian chartered bank.

ii) Foreign Currency Risk

The Company is exposed to the financial risk related to fluctuations of foreign exchange rates. The Company operates in Canada and Greenland and a portion of exploration and evaluation assets are incurred in US dollars, Euros and Danish krones ("DKK"). The Company has not hedged its exposure to currency fluctuations, however foreign currency risk is considered low as the majority of transactions are settled and reported in Canadian dollars.

iii) Price Risk

The Company is exposed to price risk with respect to commodity and equity prices. Equity price risk is defined as the potential adverse impact on the Company's earnings due to movements in individual equity prices or general movements in the level of the stock market. Commodity price risk is defined as the potential adverse impact on earnings and economic value due to commodity price movements and volatilities. The Company closely monitors commodity prices of precious metals, individual equity movements, and the stock market to determine the appropriate course of action to be taken by the Company.

Accounting Standards Not Yet Effective

Other accounting standards or amendments to existing accounting standards that have been issued but have future effective dates are either not applicable or are not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

IFRS 9, Financial instruments

This new standard is a partial replacement of IAS 39 "Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement". IFRS 9 uses a single approach to determine whether a financial asset is measured at amortized cost or fair value, replacing the multiple rules in IAS 39. The approach in IFRS 9 is based on how an entity manages its financial instruments in the context of its business model and the contractual cash flow characteristics of the financial assets. The new standard also requires a single impairment method to be used, replacing the multiple impairment methods in IAS 39. IFRS 9 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2015.

IFRS 13, Fair value measurement

This new standard replaces the fair value measurement guidance currently included in various other IFRS standards with a single definition of fair value and extensive application guidance. IFRS 13 provides guidance on how to measure fair value and does not introduce new requirements for when fair value is required or permitted. It also establishes disclosure requirements to provide users of the financial statements with more information about fair value measurements. IFRS 13 is effect for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013.

Amendments to IAS 32, Financial instruments: presentation

These amendments address inconsistencies when applying the offsetting requirements, and is effective for annual periods beginning on or after January 1, 2014.

Financial statement presentation

In June 2011, the IASB and the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued amendments to standards to align the presentation requirements for other comprehensive income ("OCI"). The IASB issued amendments to IAS 1 "Presentation of Financial Statements" to require companies preparing financial statements under IFRS to group items within OCI that may be reclassified to the profit or loss. The amendments also reaffirm existing requirements that items in OCI and profit or loss should be presented as either a single statement or two consecutive statements. The amendments are effective for fiscal years beginning on or after July 1, 2012.

Additional Disclosure for Venture Issuers Without Significant Revenue

The business of the Company entails significant risks, and an investment in the securities of the Company should be considered highly speculative. An investment in the securities of the Company should only be undertaken by persons who have sufficient financial resources to enable them to assume such risks. The following is a general description of all material risks, which can adversely affect the business and in turn the financial results, ultimately affecting the value of an investment the Company.

The Company has no significant revenues.

The Company has limited funds.

There is no assurance that the Company can access additional capital.

There is no assurance that the Company will be successful in its quest to find a commercially viable quantity of mineral resources.

The Company has a history of operating losses and may have operating losses and a negative cash flow in the future.

The Company's auditors have indicated that U.S. reporting standards would require them to raise a concern about the company's ability to continue as a going concern.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

There are no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Table of Property Contractual Obligations

Post Creek

		Issuance of		Ехр	loration	
Date	 Cash	shares	_	Requ	irements	_
On or before April 5, 2010	\$ 12,500	400,000	paid & issued			-
On or before April 5, 2011	\$ 30,000	300,000	paid & issued	\$	15,000	Exploration requirements to April 5, 2011 \$624,715
On or before April 5, 2012	\$ 50,000	300,000	paid & issued	\$	15,000	Exploration requirements to April 5, 2012 \$830,127
On or before April 5, 2013	\$ 15,000	-	paid	\$	15,000	Exploration requirements to April 5, 2013 \$975,049
On or before April 5, 2014	\$ 15,000	-		\$	15,000	
On or before April 5, 2015	\$ 15,000	-		\$	15,000	

Halcyon

			Issuance of	Ехр	loration	
Date		Cash	shares	Requ	irements	
On or before April 5, 2010 On or before April 5, 2011	\$ \$	15,000 25,000	300,000 paid & issued 200,000 paid & issued	\$	22,000	Exploration requirements to April 5, 2011 \$40,299
On or before April 5, 2012	\$	35,000	200,000 paid & issued	\$	22,000	Exploration requirements to April 5, 2012 \$53,985
On or before April 5, 2013	\$	15,000	paid -	\$	22,000	Exploration requirements to April 5, 2013 \$80,675
On or before April 5, 2014 On or before April 5, 2015	\$ \$	15,000 15,000	-	\$ \$	22,000 22,000	
On or before April 3, 2013	Y	13,000		Y	22,000	

Related Party Transactions

Related party transactions were in the normal course of business and have been recorded at the exchange amount which is the fair value agreed to between the parties. Amounts due to related parties are unsecured, non-interest bearing and without specific terms of repayment.

During the period ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company entered into transactions with related parties comprised of directors, officers and companies with common directors as follows:

Related party	Nature of transaction
Mount Morgan Resources Ltd.	Geological consulting fees provided by Mark Fedikow, President for a monthly retainer of \$6,000
Dockside Capital Group Inc. VMS Ventures Inc.	Management fees for services provided by directors for a monthly retainer of \$4,000. Management fees for services provided by Rick Mark for a monthly retainer of \$5,000, CEO, Cheryl Messier for an adjusted monthly retainer of \$5,500, CFO, Neil Richardson adjusted monthly retainer of \$6,000. COO and shared administrative costs.

Included in trade payables and accrued liabilities as at March 31, 2013 is \$3,680 (Dec 2012- \$2,765) owing to VMS Ventures Inc. for shared administrative costs.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company recorded \$2,700 (March 31, 2012 - \$3,600) in rent expense to a company controlled by directors in common.

For the year ended March 31, 2013, the Company paid \$15,000 (March 31, 2012 - \$15,000) for management fees to VMS Ventures Inc. regarding Rick Mark, CEO and to Dockside Capital Group Inc. \$12,000 (March 31, 2012 - \$12,000) regarding services from two directors.

Included in exploration and evaluation assets for the three months ended March 31, 2013 is \$Nil (March 31, 2012 – \$11,600) which Mount Morgan Resources Ltd. was paid regarding geological fees for Mark Fedikow, President and VMS Ventures Inc. was paid \$Nil (March 31, 2012 - \$2,000) regarding geological fees for Neil Richardson, COO

Included in geological consulting fees for the three months ended March 31, 2013 is \$18,000 (March 31, 2012 – \$6,400) which Mount Morgan Resources Ltd. was paid regarding geological fees for Mark Fedikow, President.

The Company has identified certain directors and certain senior officers as its key management personnel. The compensation costs for key management personnel for the period ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

	March 31,	March 31,
	2013	2012
Geological consulting fees - expensed	\$ 18,000	\$ 6,400
Geological consulting fees - capitalized	-	11,600
Management fees - expensed	27,000	27,000
Stock-based compensation	 	_
	\$ 45,000	\$ 45,000

Subsequent Events

On April 22, 2013, the Company closed the first tranche of a non-brokered Private Placement. Gross proceeds of \$4,458,345 were raised through the issue of 26,225,561 units. Each unit consist of one common share and one-half of a common share purchase Warrant. Each whole Warrant entitles the holder to purchase one common share at a price of C\$0.21 per share. Finder's fees of \$62,009.50 were paid in cash in connection with the private placement.

As announced April 17, 2013 and related to Multilateral Instrument 31-101, "Protection of Minority Shareholders in Special Transactions", an additional closing on proceeds of \$2,595,752.27 from The Sentient Group and VMS Ventures is expected to take place on or about June 13, 2013. These funds are currently held in trust and will be released to the Company upon the Company's disinterested shareholders ratifying approval of that closing at the annual and special general meeting scheduled for that day.

The Company granted 200,000 stock options to a consultant exercisable at a price of \$0.15 per share for a period of five years.

On April 1, 2013, the Company issued a \$15,000 cash payment on the Post Creek Property and a \$15,000 cash payment on the Halcyon Property to cover the commitments on the amended property agreements.

Share Capital Data

The following table sets forth the Company's share capital data as at May 29, 2013

Common Shares

-issued & outstanding	106,885,754
Preferred Shares	
-issued & outstanding	604,724
Ontions	

Options

Warrants

-issued & outstanding	36,072,778
-----------------------	------------

Further Information

Additional information about the Company is available at the Canadian disclosure website www.sedar.com